arXiv:math/0304401v1 [math.GR] 25 Apr 2003

PRODUCTS OF CHARACTERS AND FINITE p-GROUPS II

EDITH ADAN-BANTE

Abstract. Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Denote by $\overline{\chi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the complex conjugate of χ. Assume that $\chi(1) = p^n$. We show that the number of distinct irreducible constituents of the product $\chi\overline{\chi}$ is at least $2n(p-1)+1$.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group. Denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the set of irreducible complex characters of G. Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Define $\overline{\chi}(g)$ to be the complex conjugate $\overline{\chi(g)}$ of $\chi(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Observe that $\overline{\chi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Through this work, we will use the notation of [2].

Let $\chi, \psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Since the product of characters is a character, $\chi\psi$ is a character of G. So it can be expressed as an integral linear combination of irreducible characters. Let $\eta(\chi,\psi)$ be the number of distinct irreducible constituents of the product $\chi\psi$.

The main result is the following

Theorem A. *Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) = p^n$. Then*

$$\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) \geq 2n(p-1)+1.$$

In Proposition 6.1 we show that, given a prime p and an integer $n \geq 0$, there exist a p-group G and a character $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ such that $\chi(1) = p^n$ and $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 2n(p-1)+1$. Thus the bound in Theorem A is optimal.

Fix an odd prime p. In [1], we considered the set of possible values that $\eta(\chi,\psi)$ can have for any finite p-group G and faithful characters $\chi,\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. There we proved that there is a "gap" among the set of all possible values that $\eta(\chi,\psi)$ can have, namely either $\eta(\chi,\psi) = 1$ or $\eta(\chi,\psi) \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$. The following theorem is another example of "gaps".

Theorem B. *Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Then one of the following holds:*
(i) $\chi(1) = 1$ and $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 1$.
(ii) $\chi(1) = p$ and $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 2p-1$ or p^2.
(iii) $\chi(1) \geq p^2$ and $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) \geq 4p-3$.

We can check that $p^2 \geq 4p-3$ if $p > 2$. Thus Theorem B implies that if $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) < 4p-3$ and $p > 2$, then either $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 1$ or $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 2p-1$.

Date: 2003.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20c15.
Key words and phrases. Products of characters and nilpotent groups.

2. NOTATION

Given a character Θ of G, we denote by $\Xi(\Theta) = \{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G) \mid [\chi, \Theta] > 0\}$ the set of irreducible constituents of Θ.

Given subgroups N and M of G, and characters $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ and $\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$, we say that

$$(N, \nu) \leq (M, \mu)$$

if $N \leq M$ and $[\nu, \mu_N] \neq 0$. Similarly we say that $(N, \nu) < (M, \mu)$ if $N < M$ and $[\nu, \mu_N] \neq 0$.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G. Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ be such that $(N, \nu) \leq (G, \chi)$. We denote by $G_\nu = \{g \in G \mid \nu^g = \nu\}$ the stabilizer of ν in G. Also we denote by $\chi_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu)$ the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to ν, i.e. the unique character $\chi_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu)$ such that $(\chi_\nu)_N = \frac{\chi_\nu(1)}{\nu(1)}\nu$ and $(\chi_\nu)^G = \chi$.

We set $\mathrm{Irr}(M \bmod N) = \{\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M) \mid \mathrm{Ker}(\mu) \geq N\}$ and $\mathrm{Lin}(G) = \{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G) \mid \chi(1) = 1\}$. The principal character of a group H is denoted by 1_H.

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will prove a series of lemmas that will be used in the proof of Theorems A and B.

Hypotheses 3.1. *Let p be a prime number and G be a finite p-group. Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ be such that $\chi(1) = p^n$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let H be a subgroup of G and $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$. Assume that $\gamma^G = \chi$. Then $\Xi((\gamma\overline{\gamma})^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$.*

Proof. Since $\gamma^G = \chi$, we have that $[\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\chi}_H] \neq 0$. By Exercise 5.3 of [2] we have that $(\gamma\overline{\chi}_H)^G = \chi\overline{\chi}$. Thus $\chi\overline{\chi} = (\gamma\overline{\gamma})^G + \Theta$, for some character Θ of G. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let M/N be a chief factor of G. Assume $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$. Then for each $\delta \in \mathrm{Irr}(M \bmod N)$, there exists $\theta_\delta \in \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ such that $(\theta_\delta)_M = \theta_\delta(1)\delta$. In particular, there are at least $p-1$ distinct elements in $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_N)^M - 1_M)$.*

Proof. Since G is a p-group, G acts trivially on M/N. Therefore every $\delta \in \mathrm{Irr}(M \bmod N)$ is G-invariant and linear. By hypothesis we have that, given $\delta \in \mathrm{Irr}(M \bmod N)$, there exists some $\theta_\delta \in \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ such that $[(\theta_\delta)_M, \delta] \neq 0$. Since δ is G-invariant and linear, we have that $(\theta_\delta)_M = \theta_\delta(1)\delta$. Thus if $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(M \bmod N)$ and $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$, then $\theta_{\delta_1} \neq \theta_{\delta_2}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let M/N be a chief factor of G. Let $\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$ and $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ be characters such that $(N, \nu) < (M, \mu) \leq (G, \chi)$. Assume that $G_\mu < G_\nu$. Then $|G_\nu : G_\mu| = p$ and $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$.*

Proof. Let $\chi_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to ν. Therefore $(\chi_\nu)_N$ is a multiple of ν. Because $G_\mu < G_\nu$ and $|M/N| = p$, there are p conjugates of μ, and they are all the characters of M lying above ν. Therefore $|G_\nu : G_\mu| = p$ and $(\chi_\nu)_M$ is a multiple of the sum of these p-conjugates. The last sum is ν^M. Therefore $(\chi_\mu)_M$ is a multiple of ν^M. Thus $\Xi(\nu^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi_\nu)_M)$. We conclude that $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\nu^M(\overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi_\nu\overline{\chi_\nu})_M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let* $N \lhd G$ *and* $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ *be such that* $(N,\nu) < (G,\chi)$. *Let* M/N *be a chief factor of* G. *Assume that* $M \cap G_\nu = N$. *Set* $\mu = \nu^M$. *Then* $\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$, $G_\mu = G_\nu M$ *and* $(M,\mu) \leq (G,\chi)$. *Also* $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$.

Proof. Since $G_\nu \cap M = N$, by Clifford theory it follows that $\mu = \nu^M \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$, and that μ_N is the sum of the p distinct M-conjugates of ν.

Let $\chi_\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\nu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to ν. By Mackey's Theorem we have that $((\chi_\nu)^{(G_\nu M)})_M = ((\chi_\nu)_N)^M$. Since $((\chi_\nu)_N)^M$ is a multiple of μ, it follows that $G_\nu M \leq G_\mu$. Since $\mu = \nu^M \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$ is the unique character of M above ν and $[\chi_N, \nu] \neq 0$, we have that $[\chi_M, \mu] \neq 0$. Let $\chi_\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\mu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to μ. Observe that

$$(\chi_\mu)_N = ((\chi_\mu)_M)_N = (\frac{\chi(1)}{\mu(1)}\mu)_N.$$

This last expression is a multiple of the p distinct M-conjugates of ν, which must therefore be the G_μ-conjugates of ν. Therefore $|G_\mu : G_\nu| = p$. Since $|G_\nu M : G_\nu| = p$ and $G_\nu M \leq G_\mu$, it follows that $G_\mu = G_\nu M$.

Since $\nu^M = \mu$, by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\mu\overline{\mu})$. Observe that $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M)$ and $\Xi(\mu\overline{\mu}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$. Thus $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Assume also that* $\chi(1) \geq p$. *Set* $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$. *Then* $Z < G$. *Let* M/Z *be a chief factor of* G. *Let* $\nu \in \mathrm{Lin}(Z)$ *be the unique character such that* $\chi_Z = \chi(1)\nu$. *Fix* $\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$ *such that* $(Z,\nu) < (M,\mu) \leq (G,\chi)$. *Then*

(i) $\Xi((1_Z)^M) \leq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$.

(ii) $\mu(1) = p^0 = 1$ *and* $|G : G_\mu| = p$.

(iii) $\Xi((1_{G_\mu})^G) \leq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathrm{Ker}(\chi) = 1$ and thus $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\chi) = \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Since $\chi(1) > 1$, we have that $Z < G$. Since $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$ and $|M : Z| = p$, it follows that $(\mu)_Z = \nu$. Observe that $\chi_M \neq \chi(1)\mu$ since $M > Z = \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$. Thus $G_\mu < G = G_\nu$. Observe that (i) and (ii) follow by Lemma 3.4. Let $\chi_\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\mu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to μ. Then $(\chi_\mu)^G = \chi$ and by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\Xi((\chi_\mu\overline{\chi_\mu})^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. Therefore $\Xi((1_{G_\mu})^G) \subseteq \Xi((\chi_\mu\overline{\chi_\mu})^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ and (iii) follows. □

Lemma 3.7. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let* M/N *be a chief factor of* G. *Let* $\nu \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ *be such that* $[\nu, \chi_N] \neq 0$. *Assume that* $\nu^M = \mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$. *Then* $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$.

Proof. Observe that $[\mu, \chi_M] \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2 we have that $\Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\mu\overline{\mu})$. Thus $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\mu\overline{\mu})$. Since $\Xi(\mu\overline{\mu}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$, the result follows. □

4. THEOREM A

Hypotheses 4.1. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let* $1 = N_0 < N_1 < \cdots < N_j < \cdots < N_t = G$ *be a composition series of* G. *Pick* $\nu_j \in \mathrm{Irr}(N_j)$, *for* $j = 0, 1, \ldots, t$, *such that*

$$(1, 1_1) = (N_0, \nu_0) < (N_1, \nu_1) < \cdots < (N_j, \nu_j) < \cdots < (N_t, \nu_t) = (G, \chi). \tag{4.2}$$

Definition 4.3. Assume Hypotheses 4.1. Fix $i = 1, \dots, t$. We say that the chain (4.2) is **stable at** i if $\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$ and $G_{\nu_{i-1}} = G_{\nu_i}$. Otherwise we say that that chain is **unstable at** i.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume Hypotheses 4.1. Fix* $i = 1, \dots, t$. *If the chain* (4.2) *is unstable at* i, *then* $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. *Also one of the following holds:*

(a) $\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$, $G_{\nu_i} < G_{\nu_{i-1}}$ *and* $|G_{\nu_{i-1}} : G_{\nu_i}| = p$.

(b) $\nu_i = (\nu_{i-1})^{N_i}$ *and* $G_{\nu_i} = G_{\nu_{i-1}} N_i$. *Thus* $|G_{\nu_i} : G_{\nu_{i-1}}| = p$.

Proof. Since N_i/N_{i-1} is a chief factor of a p-group, it is cyclic of order p. Either ν_{i-1} extends to N_i, or $(\nu_{i-1})^{N_i} \in \mathrm{Irr}(N_i)$.

Assume that ν_{i-1} extends to N_i. Since N_i/N_{i-1} is a cyclic group, it follows that $\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$. Observe that $(\nu_i)^g = \nu_i$ implies that $(\nu_{i-1})^g = \nu_{i-1}$ for any g in G. Thus $G_{\nu_i} \leq G_{\nu_{i-1}}$. Since the chain is unstable at i, we have that $G_{\nu_i} < G_{\nu_{i-1}}$. By Lemma 3.4 we have that $|G_{\nu_{i-1}} : G_{\nu_i}| = p$ and $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_{N_i})$. Hence the lemma holds with option (a).

We may assume now that $(\nu_{i-1})^{N_i} \in \mathrm{Irr}(N_i)$. Since $[(\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}, \nu_{i-1}] \neq 0$, we have that that $\nu_i = (\nu_{i-1})^{N_i}$. Also $G_{\nu_{i-1}} \cap N_i = N_{i-1}$. By Lemma 3.5 we have that $G_{\nu_i} = G_{\nu_{i-1}} N_i$ and $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. So $|G_{\nu_i} : G_{\nu_{i-1}}| = |N_i : G_{\nu_{i-1}} \cap N_i| = |N_i : N_{i-1}| = p$. Hence the lemma holds with option (b) and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.5. *Assume Hypotheses 4.1. For* $i = 1, \dots, t$, *let* r_i *and* s_i *be such that* $p^{r_i} = |G : G_{\nu_i}|$ *and* $p^{s_i} = \nu_i(1)$. *Set*

$$m_i = |\{k \mid 1 \leq k \leq i \text{ and the chain } (4.2) \text{ is unstable at } k\ \}|. \tag{4.6}$$

Then for any $i = 1, \dots, t$

(i) $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_{N_i})$ *if the chain is unstable at* i.

(ii) $m_i = 2s_i + r_i$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 we have that (i) holds. It remains to prove that (ii) also holds.

Since G is a p-group and $N_1/1$ is a chief factor of G, we have that $N_1 \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Therefore $p^{r_1} = |G : G_{\nu_1}| = |G : G| = 1$ and $p^{s_1} = \nu_1(1) = 1$. Thus $r_1 = 0$ and $s_1 = 0$. Since i is stable, we have that $m_1 = 0$. So (ii) holds for $i = 1$.

We may assume by induction that we have some integer $j = 2, \dots, t$ such that the lemma holds for any $i \leq j - 1$. Either the chain is stable at j, or it is unstable at j.

If the chain is stable at j, then by (4.6) we have that $m_j = m_{j-1}$. Also $p^{r_j} = |G : G_{\nu_j}| = |G : G_{\nu_{j-1}}| = p^{r_{j-1}}$ and $p^{s_j} = \nu_j(1) = \nu_{j-1}(1) = p^{s_{j-1}}$. Thus

$$m_j = m_{j-1} = 2s_{j-1} + r_{j-1} = 2s_j + r_j.$$

So (ii) holds for $i = j$.

Now we may assume that the chain is unstable at j. By (4.6) we have that $m_j = m_{j-1} + 1$. Also either (a) or (b) of Lemma 4.4 holds. If (a) holds, then $p^{r_j} = |G : G_{\nu_j}| = p|G : G_{\nu_{j-1}}| = p^{r_{j-1}+1}$ and $p^{s_j} = \nu_j(1) = \nu_{j-1}(1) = p^{s_{j-1}}$. Thus

$$m_j = m_{j-1} + 1 = 2s_{j-1} + r_{j-1} + 1 = 2s_j + r_j.$$

So (ii) holds.

Finally, assume that Lemma 4.4 (b) holds. Thus $pp^{r_j} = p|G : G_{\nu_j}| = |G : G_{\nu_{j-1}}| = p^{r_{j-1}}$. Therefore $r_j = r_{j-1} - 1$. Since $p^{s_j} = \nu_j(1) = p\nu_{j-1}(1) = pp^{s_{j-1}}$, we have that $s_j = s_{j-1} + 1$ and

$$m_j = m_{j-1} + 1 = 2s_{j-1} + r_{j-1} + 1 = (2s_{j-1} + 2) + (r_{j-1} - 1) = 2s_j + r_j.$$

So (ii) holds and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem A. We may assume that Hypotheses 4.1 hold.

Fix $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Let $\theta \in \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ be a character that lies above an irreducible constituent of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i} - 1_{N_i})$. If $j > i$, then $[\theta_{N_j}, \delta] = 0$ for any $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}(N_j \bmod N_{j-1})$. Otherwise $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta) \geq N_{j-1} \geq N_i$. Therefore $[1_{N_{j-1}}, \theta_{N_{j-1}}] \neq 0$. Since $N_{j-1} \trianglelefteq G$, we have that $N_{j-1} \leq \operatorname{Ker}(\theta)$. Thus $\theta_{N_i} = \theta(1)1_{N_i}$ and θ does not lie above an irreducible constituent of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i} - 1_{N_i})$. If $j < i$ then $\theta_{N_j} = \theta(1)1_{N_j}$. We conclude that for any $i, j = 1, \ldots t$ with $i \neq j$, the elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i} - 1_{N_i})$ are distinct from the elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_{N_{j-1}})^{N_j} - 1_{N_j})$.

Using the notation of Lemma 4.5, we have that $s_t = n$ and $r_t = 0$. Thus $m_t = 2n$ by Lemma 4.5 (ii). If the chain is unstable at $i = 1, \ldots, t$, then by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.5 (i) we have that there are at least $p - 1$ non-principal distinct elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i} - 1_{N_i})$. Therefore, by the previous paragraph there are at least $m_t(p - 1)$ distinct non-principal elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. Since 1_G is an element of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$, we conclude that $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ has at least $m_t(p - 1) + 1 = 2n(p - 1) + 1$ distinct elements. □

A corollary of Theorem A is

Corollary 4.7. *Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) = p^n$. Assume that there exists $\alpha \in \operatorname{Lin}(G)$ such that $[\alpha, \chi\psi] \neq 0$. Then*

$$\eta(\chi, \psi) \geq 2n(p - 1) + 1.$$

Proof. Observe that $[\chi\psi, \alpha] = [\psi, \alpha\overline{\chi}] \neq 0$. Since $\alpha(1) = 1$, we have that $\psi = \alpha\overline{\chi}$.

Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Observe that $\alpha\theta_1 = \alpha\theta_2$ if and only if $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. Also observe that $[\theta_1, \chi\overline{\chi}] = [\alpha\theta_1, \chi\psi]$. Since $\alpha\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ for any $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$, it follows that $\eta(\chi, \psi) = \eta(\chi, \overline{\chi})$. Applying Theorem A, we get that $\eta(\chi, \psi) \geq 2n(p - 1) + 1$. □

Let p be an odd prime and $n > 0$ be an integer. Let E be an extra-special group of order p^{2n+1} and exponent p. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(E)$ be such that $\chi(1) = p^n$. Since $p > 2$, we can choose $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(E)$ such that $\psi(1) = p^n$ and $\psi \neq \overline{\chi}$. We can check that $\eta(\chi, \psi) = 1$. Thus Corollary 4.7 does not remain true without the existence of $\alpha \in \operatorname{Lin}(G)$ such that $[\chi\psi, \alpha] \neq 0$.

5. THEOREM B

Lemma 5.1. *Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Assume also that $\chi(1) = p$. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $[\theta, \chi\overline{\chi}] \neq 0$. Then $[\theta, \chi\overline{\chi}] = 1$ and one of the following holds:*

(i) $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2p - 1$ and $\chi\overline{\chi}$ is the sum of p distinct linear characters and $p - 1$ distinct irreducible characters of degree p.

(ii) $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = p^2$ and $\chi\overline{\chi}$ is the sum of p^2 distinct linear characters.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathrm{Ker}(\chi) = 1$. Set $Z = \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Let M/Z be a chief factor of G. Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Lin}(Z)$ be the unique character of Z such that $\chi_Z = \chi(1)\nu$. Let $\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$ be such that $(Z, \nu) < (M, \mu) \leq (G, \chi)$. Set $H = G_\mu$. Let $\chi_\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to μ. By Lemma 3.6 (ii) we have that $|G : H| = p$.

Since $\chi(1) = p$, $|G : H| = p$, $\chi_\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ and $(\chi_\mu)^G = \chi$, we have that $\chi_\mu(1) = 1$. By Exercise 2.8 of [2] we have that H is an abelian subgroup of G since χ is faithful and H is normal.

Fix $g \in G \setminus H$. Since H is normal and $(\chi_\mu)^G = \chi$, we have that

$$(\chi\overline{\chi})_H = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\chi_\mu)^{g^i} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} [\chi_\mu (\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j}]^{g^i}. \tag{5.2}$$

Consider the set $\Omega = \{\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j} \mid j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Observe that the elements of Ω are linear characters of H and Ω has exactly p elements. Also observe that, given $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, the product $(\chi_\mu)^{g^i}(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j} \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ is G-conjugate to an element in Ω.

Claim 5.3. *Assume that for some $s \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, the character $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^s}$ is G-invariant. Then for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, the character $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}$ is G-invariant. Thus the set $\Xi((\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G)$ contains p linear characters. Also $\Xi((\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G)$ and $\Xi((\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j})^G)$ are disjoint sets for all $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ with $i \neq j$. In particular, the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ contains p^2 distinct elements, all of which are linear characters. Thus $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = p^2$ and Lemma 5.1 (ii) holds.*

Proof. Since $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^s}$ is G-invariant, $K = \mathrm{Ker}(\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^s})$ is a normal subgroup of G with $Z \leq K \leq H$.

Suppose that $K > Z$. We choose $L \leq K$ such that L/Z is a chief factor of G. Let $\beta = (\chi_\mu)_L$. Observe that $\beta \in \mathrm{Lin}(L)$ since $\chi_\mu(1) = 1$. If $G_\beta = G$, then $\chi_L = \chi(1)\beta$ and therefore $L \leq \mathbf{Z}(\chi) = Z$. Since L/Z is a chief factor of G, we conclude that $G_\beta = H$. Observe that $(\chi_\mu)_L^{g^s} = \beta^{g^s} \neq \beta$ since $g^s \in G \setminus H$. Thus $L \not\leq K$ since $\beta\overline{\beta^{g^s}} \neq 1_L$. We conclude that $K = Z$.

Now $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^s}$ is a G-invariant linear character with kernel $K = Z$. So G centralizes H/Z. Hence all the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(H \bmod Z)$ are G-invariant. Since $\mathrm{Ker}(\chi\overline{\chi}) = Z$, we have that $\mathrm{Ker}(\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}) \geq Z$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. Thus the character $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i} \in \mathrm{Lin}(H)$ is G-invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$.

Since $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}$ is G-invariant, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, and $|G : H| = p$, it follows that $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}$ extends to G and $(\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G$ is the sum of the p distinct extensions of $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}$. Since $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i} \neq \chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j}$ for any $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ with $i \neq j$, the set of extensions of $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}$ is disjoint from the set of extensions of $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^j}$. Since $((\chi_\mu)^{g^i})^G = \chi$ for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, we have that $\Xi((\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G) \subseteq \Xi((\chi_\mu)^G((\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G) = \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. We conclude that the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ has at least $p \times p = p^2$ distinct elements. Since $\chi(1) = p$, it follows that $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = p^2$. $\square$

If for some $s = 1, \ldots, p-1$, the character $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^s}$ is G-invariant, the lemma follows by Claim 5.3.

Now we assume that none of the characters $\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, is G-invariant. Since $|G : H| = p$, it follows that $(\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and

$(\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G(1) = p$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$. By (5.2) we have $[(\chi\overline{\chi})_H, \chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})] \neq 0$. Thus

$$[\chi\overline{\chi}, (\chi_\mu(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G] \neq 0.$$

We conclude that the set $\{((\chi_\mu)^{g^j}(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G \mid i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1 \text{ and } i \neq j\}$ has at most $p-1$ characters, all of which are irreducible characters of degree p, and lying in the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$.

Since ${\chi_\mu}^G = \chi$, by Lemma 3.5 we have that $\Xi((1_H)^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. Observe that $(1_H)^G$ has p distinct irreducible constituents. Observe also that $\Xi((1_H)^G)$ and $\{((\chi_\mu)^{g^j}(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i})^G \mid i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1 \text{ and } i \neq j\}$ are disjoint sets since $(\chi_\mu)^{g^j}(\overline{\chi_\mu})^{g^i} \neq 1_H$ for any $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ with $i \neq j$. We conclude that the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ has at most $2p-1$ members, i.e. $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) \leq 2p-1$. By Theorem A we have that $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) \geq 2p-1$ and therefore $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2p-1$. Since $(p-1)p + p = p^2$, we have that all the irreducible constituents of $\chi\overline{\chi}$ appear with multiplicity 1 and have the right degree. So the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem B. Theorem B follows from Lemma 5.1 and Theorem A. □

6. Examples

Proposition 6.1. *Let p be a prime number and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. There exist a finite p-group G and a character $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ such that $\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$, $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2n(p-1)+1$ and $\chi(1) = p^n$.*

Proof. The statement is clearly true for $n = 0$. So we may assume that $n > 0$. By induction on n, we may assume that there exist a finite p-group A and a character $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr}(A)$ such that $\alpha \neq \overline{\alpha}$, $\eta(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) = 2(n-1)(p-1)+1$ and $\alpha(1) = p^{n-1}$.

Let $C = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ be the additive group of integers modulo p. Let $H = A \times \cdots \times A$ be the direct product of p copies of A. Let γ be a generator of C. Define the action of γ in H by

$$(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1})^\gamma = (a_{p-1}, a_0, \ldots, a_{p-2})$$

for any $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1} \in A$.

Let G be the semi-direct product of H and C. Then G is the wreath product $A \wr C$ of A by C.

For $i = 0, \ldots, p-1$, set $\theta_i((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1})) = \alpha(a_i)$. Observe that $\theta_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$. Also observe that the stabilizer of θ_0 is H. Thus $\chi = \theta_0^G \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and $\chi(1) = p\theta_0(1) = p\alpha(1) = p^n$.

Claim 6.2. *$\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$.*

Proof. Since $\alpha \neq \overline{\alpha}$, there exists some $a \in A$ such that $\alpha(a) \neq \overline{\alpha(a)}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi((a, 1, \ldots, 1)) &= \theta_0((a, 1, \ldots, 1)) + \theta_0((1, a, \ldots, 1) + \cdots + \theta_0((1, 1, \ldots, a)) \\
&= \alpha(a) + \alpha(1) + \cdots + \alpha(1) \\
&= \alpha(a) + (p-1)\alpha(1) \\
&\neq \overline{\alpha(a) + (p-1)\alpha(1)} \\
&= \overline{\chi((a, 1, \ldots, 1))},
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. □

Observe that $\chi_H = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \theta_i$. Consider the set $\Omega = \{\theta_0\overline{\theta_k} \mid k = 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Observe that for $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, $i \neq j$, we have that $\theta_i\overline{\theta_j} \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ and $\theta_i\overline{\theta_j}$ is G-conjugate to the character $\theta_0\overline{\theta_k}$, where $k \cong j - i \bmod p$. Since $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i} \neq \theta_0\overline{\theta_j}$ if $i \neq j$, the set Ω has $p-1$ distinct elements.

For any $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, the character $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i}$ is not G-invariant. Otherwise $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i} = \alpha \times 1_A \times \cdots \times 1_A \times \overline{\alpha} \times 1_A \times \cdots \times 1_A$, where $\overline{\alpha}$ is in the i position, is equal to $\overline{\theta_0}\theta_{p-i} = \overline{\alpha} \times 1_A \times \cdots \times 1_A \times \alpha \times 1_A \times \cdots \times 1_A$, where α is in the $p-i$ position. Hence $\alpha = \overline{\alpha}$, a contradiction with our hypothesis. Since $|G : H| = p$ and $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i} \in \mathrm{Lin}(H)$ is not G-invariant, for $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, it follows that $(\theta_0\overline{\theta_i})^G \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Therefore the set $\{(\theta_0\overline{\theta_i})^G \mid i = 1, \ldots, p-1\} = \{(\theta_i\overline{\theta_j})^G \mid i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1 \text{ and } i \neq j\}$ has exactly $p-1$ distinct irreducible characters.

Since $\theta_0\overline{\theta_0} = \alpha\overline{\alpha} \times 1_A \times \cdots \times 1_A$ and $\eta(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) = 2(n-1)(p-1) + 1$, it follows that $\theta_0\overline{\theta_0}$ has $2(n-1)(p-1)+1$ distinct irreducible constituents. Among those constituents, only the character 1_H is G-invariant. It follows that $(\theta_0\overline{\theta_0})^G$ has exactly $p + 2(n-1)(p-1)$ distinct irreducible constituents.

We conclude that $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = p + 2(n-1)(p-1) + p - 1 = 2n(p-1) + 1$. □

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Professor Everett C. Dade for helpful discussions.

References

[1] E. Adan-Bante, Products of characters and finite p-groups, submitted.

[2] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups. New York-San Francisco–London: Academic Press 1976.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, 61801

E-mail address: `adanbant@math.uiuc.edu`